

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

---

APRIL 27, 1838.

Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

---

Mr. KING submitted the following

REPORT :

[To accompany Senate bill, No. 320.]

*The Committee on Commerce, to which was referred the petition of Elisha Eldridge, have had the same under consideration, and report :*

That the petitioner was, in the year 1837, master of a schooner called The April ; that he took on board, at the port of Wilmington, North Carolina, a cargo of tar, and sailed for Mobile, in the State of Alabama, where he arrived about the 5th of March, 1837 ; that he failed to produce to the collector a manifest of his cargo ; and for such neglect was required by the deputy collector to pay a fine of fifty dollars, which sum was actually paid by him. The cargo consisted of tar only, and the committee can perceive no motive which could operate on the petitioner to withhold the manifest ; but, from all the circumstances, are led to believe, that the neglect to do so was caused (as stated by the petitioner) from his ignorance of the requirements of the law. They have, therefore, reported a bill for his relief.

Blair & Rives, printers.

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

April 27, 1838.

Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. Kline submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany Senate bill, No. 320.]

The Committee on Commerce, to which was referred the petition of Elizabeth Kibbe, have had the same under consideration, and report:

That the petitioner was, in the year 1835, master of a schooner called "The April"; that he took on board, at the port of Wilmington, North Carolina, a cargo of tar, and sailed for Mobile, in the State of Alabama, where he arrived about the 5th of March, 1837; that he failed to produce to the collector a manifest of his cargo; and for such neglect was required by the deputy collector to pay a fine of fifty dollars, which sum was actually paid by him. The cargo consisted of tar only, and the committee can perceive no motive which could operate on the petitioner to withhold the manifest; but from all the circumstances, are led to believe that the neglect to do so was caused (as stated by the petitioner) from his ignorance of the requirements of the law. They have, therefore, reported a bill for his relief.

Wm. K. Kline, printer.